# The homology of amalgams of topological groups

Gustavo Granja

CAMGSD/IST

November 24, 2007

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

The homology of amalgams

▶ < ∃ > < ∃ > ∃ ≥ November 24, 2007 1 / 20

#### Outline



#### Amalgams

- Definition
- Examples
- Main result

2 Homotopy colimits

- O Proof of theorem
- 4 Kač-Moody groups

э

 $\phi_i \colon A \to G_i$  group homomorphisms. The *amalgam*  $*G_i$  is the *colimit* of the diagram:



3

< 1 →

 $\phi_i \colon A \to G_i$  group homomorphisms. The *amalgam*  $*G_i$  is the *colimit* of the diagram:



э

 $\phi_i \colon A \to G_i$  group homomorphisms. The *amalgam*  $*G_i$  is the *colimit* of the diagram:



 $\phi_i \colon A \to G_i$  group homomorphisms. The *amalgam*  $*G_i$  is the *colimit* of the diagram:



Amalgams are familiar from Van Kampen's theorem:  $X = U \cup V$ ,  $U, V, U \cap V$  connected. Then  $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(U) \underset{\pi_1(U \cap V)}{*} \pi_1(V)$ .

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

 $\phi_i \colon A \to G_i$  group homomorphisms. The *amalgam*  $*G_i$  is the *colimit* of the diagram:



Amalgams are familiar from Van Kampen's theorem:  $X = U \cup V$ ,  $U, V, U \cap V$  connected. Then  $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(U) \underset{\pi_1(U \cap V)}{*} \pi_1(V)$ . **Aim:** Compute the Pontryagin algebra  $H_*\left(\underset{A}{*}G_i\right)$  for  $\phi_i$  inclusions.

#### Examples of amalgams

1. 
$$SL(2;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \underset{\mathbb{Z}/2}{*} \mathbb{Z}/6$$



Figure: The tree of  $SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$ .

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

< 17 ▶

э

#### Examples of amalgams

1. 
$$SL(2;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \underset{\mathbb{Z}/2}{*} \mathbb{Z}/6$$



Figure: The tree of  $SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$ .

2.  $\operatorname{Diff}(S^2 \times S^2, \omega) \simeq \operatorname{colim}(S^1 \times SO(3) \leftarrow SO(3) \xrightarrow{\triangle} SO(3) \times SO(3))$ if  $\omega(S^2 \times 1) = 1, \omega(1 \times S^2) \in ]1, 2].$ 

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

# More examples of amalgams

# 3. Diff $(\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \omega) \simeq \operatorname{colim}(U(2) \xleftarrow{(1,0)} S^1 \xrightarrow{(2,1)} U(2))$ if $\omega(\mathbb{C}P^1) = 1, \omega(E) = \lambda \in [1, 2[.$

# More examples of amalgams

- 3. Diff( $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \omega$ )  $\simeq \operatorname{colim}(U(2) \xleftarrow{(1,0)} S^1 \xrightarrow{(2,1)} U(2))$  if  $\omega(\mathbb{C}P^1) = 1, \omega(E) = \lambda \in [1, 2[.$
- 4. *K* simply connected unitary form of a Kač-Moody group. There is a surjective homomorphism

$$_{B}^{*}P_{i}\xrightarrow{\pi}K$$

where  $P_i$  are the *minimal parabolics* and B is the *Borel* subgroup [Kač-Peterson].

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

# More examples of amalgams

- 3. Diff( $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \omega$ )  $\simeq \operatorname{colim}(U(2) \xleftarrow{(1,0)} S^1 \xrightarrow{(2,1)} U(2))$  if  $\omega(\mathbb{C}P^1) = 1, \omega(E) = \lambda \in [1, 2[.$
- 4. *K* simply connected unitary form of a Kač-Moody group. There is a surjective homomorphism

$${}^*_BP_i \xrightarrow{\pi} K$$

where  $P_i$  are the *minimal parabolics* and *B* is the *Borel* subgroup [Kač-Peterson].

The homomorphism  $\pi$  induces a surjection on homology [Kitchloo].

- ロ ト - ( 同 ト - ( 回 ト - ) 回 - )

# Main theorem

**Theorem:** Consider the diagram  $F: I \rightarrow \text{TopGps}$  described by



Suppose the  $\phi_i$  are *inclusions* and the projections  $G_i \to G_i/A$  admit local sections. Then

- 3

### Main theorem

**Theorem:** Consider the diagram  $F: I \rightarrow \text{TopGps}$  described by



Suppose the  $\phi_i$  are *inclusions* and the projections  $G_i \to G_i/A$  admit local sections. Then

**1** The following canonical map is a weak equivalence

$$\operatorname{hocolim}_{i \in I}^{\operatorname{TopGps}} F(i) \to \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I}^{\operatorname{TopGps}} F(i) = {}_{A}^{*}G_{i}$$

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

- 3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Main theorem

**Theorem:** Consider the diagram  $F: I \rightarrow \text{TopGps}$  described by



Suppose the  $\phi_i$  are *inclusions* and the projections  $G_i \to G_i/A$  admit local sections. Then

**1** The following canonical map is a weak equivalence

$$\operatorname{hocolim}_{i \in I}^{\operatorname{TopGps}} F(i) \to \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I}^{\operatorname{TopGps}} F(i) = \underset{A}{*}G_i$$

② There is a functor  $G: \Pi_n \rightarrow$  Spaces and a spectral sequence of graded algebras

$$E_{k,j}^2 = \underset{w \in \Pi_n}{\operatorname{colim}_j} H_k G(w) \Rightarrow H_{j+k}(\underset{A}{*}G_i).$$

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

# Remarks on the main Theorem

• If A, G<sub>i</sub> are discrete this is a well known theorem of J.H.C. Whitehead in group cohomology.

3

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# Remarks on the main Theorem

- If A, G<sub>i</sub> are discrete this is a well known theorem of J.H.C. Whitehead in group cohomology.
- If in addition to the hypothesis  $\phi_i : A \to G_i$  induce inclusions on homology, part 1 is a theorem of Sílvia Anjos and myself (2003).

A B + A B +

# Remarks on the main Theorem

- If A, G<sub>i</sub> are discrete this is a well known theorem of J.H.C. Whitehead in group cohomology.
- If in addition to the hypothesis  $\phi_i : A \to G_i$  induce inclusions on homology, part 1 is a theorem of Sílvia Anjos and myself (2003).

In this case the  $E_2$  term of the spectral sequence is concentrated on the 0-line which is given by

$$E_{k,0}^2 = \operatorname{colim}_{w \in \Pi} H_k G(w) = \left( \underset{H_*(A)}{*} H_*(G_i) \right)_k.$$

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

#### A functor $F: I \rightarrow C$ is called a *diagram in* C *indexed by* I.

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

The homology of amalgams

November 24, 2007 8 / 20

- B

(日) (同) (三) (三)

A functor  $F: I \to C$  is called a *diagram in* C *indexed by* I. The *colimit* of a diagram F is an object  $C \in C$  together with morphisms  $F(i) \xrightarrow{\phi_i} C$  satisfying, for all morphisms  $\alpha: i \to j$  in I,



イロト イポト イヨト ・ヨ

A functor  $F: I \to C$  is called a *diagram in* C *indexed by* I. The *colimit* of a diagram F is an object  $C \in C$  together with morphisms  $F(i) \xrightarrow{\phi_i} C$  satisfying, for all morphisms  $\alpha: i \to j$  in I,



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

A functor  $F: I \to C$  is called a *diagram in C indexed by I*.

The *colimit* of a diagram F is an object  $C \in C$  together with morphisms  $F(i) \xrightarrow{\phi_i} C$  satisfying, for all morphisms  $\alpha : i \to j$  in I,



**Examples:** Let C = Sets.

• colim 
$$\left(X \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} A \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} Y\right) = \left(X \coprod Y\right) / f(a) \sim g(a).$$

**2** For I = G a (discrete) group,

$$\operatorname{colim}\left(\begin{array}{c} \swarrow & G \\ X & \end{array}\right) = X/G.$$

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

#### The trouble with colimits

They are *not* homotopy invariant.

< /₽ > < ∃ >

э

### The trouble with colimits

They are *not* homotopy invariant. **Example:** The two diagrams



are *naturally* homotopy equivalent.

- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 2 - 1 日

#### The trouble with colimits

They are *not* homotopy invariant. **Example:** The two diagrams



are naturally homotopy equivalent. Their colimits

S<sup>n</sup>

\*

are not homotopy equivalent.

- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 2 - 1 日

Let C be a category with a notion of homotopy equivalence (e.g. Spaces, TopGps,  $Ch_R^+$ = chain complexes of modules over a ring R).

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 - 日 5 - 日

Let C be a category with a notion of homotopy equivalence (e.g. Spaces, TopGps,  $Ch_R^+$ = chain complexes of modules over a ring R).

Let C' = category of diagrams in C indexed by I.

- 4 緑 6 4 日 6 4 日 6 - 日

Let C be a category with a notion of homotopy equivalence (e.g. Spaces, TopGps,  $Ch_R^+$  = chain complexes of modules over a ring R).

Let C' = category of diagrams in C indexed by I.

**1st definition of homotopy colimit:** hocolim is the terminal homotopy invariant functor mapping to colim



**2nd definition of homotopy colimit:** To give a map  $\operatorname{hocolim}_{i \in I} F(i) \to C$  consists of giving

• For each  $i \in I$ , a map  $\phi_i \colon F(i) \to C$ ,

**2nd definition of homotopy colimit:** To give a map  $\operatorname{hocolim}_{i \in I} F(i) \to C$  consists of giving

- For each  $i \in I$ , a map  $\phi_i \colon F(i) \to C$ ,
- For each  $\alpha: i \to j$ , a homotopy  $F(i) \times [0,1] \to C$  between  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j \circ F(\alpha)$ ,

**2nd definition of homotopy colimit:** To give a map hocolim  $F(i) \rightarrow C$  consists of giving

- For each  $i \in I$ , a map  $\phi_i \colon F(i) \to C$ ,
- For each  $\alpha: i \to j$ , a homotopy  $F(i) \times [0,1] \to C$  between  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j \circ F(\alpha)$ ,
- For each  $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j \xrightarrow{\beta} k$ , homotopies  $F(i) \times \Delta^2 \to C$  restricting to the previous homotopies on the edges, etc...

**2nd definition of homotopy colimit:** To give a map hocolim  $F(i) \rightarrow C$ i∈I consists of giving

- For each  $i \in I$ , a map  $\phi_i \colon F(i) \to C$ .
- For each  $\alpha: i \to j$ , a homotopy  $F(i) \times [0,1] \to C$  between  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_i \circ F(\alpha),$
- For each  $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j \xrightarrow{\beta} k$ , homotopies  $F(i) \times \Delta^2 \to C$  restricting to the previous homotopies on the edges, etc...

This suggests a construction of the homotopy colimit.

• hocolim 
$$\left(X \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} A \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} Y\right) = (X \coprod A \times [0,1] \coprod Y) / ((a,0) \sim f(a), (a',1) \sim g(a')).$$

3

hocolim (X ← A → Y) = (X ∐ A × [0,1] ∐ Y) / ((a,0) ~ f(a), (a',1) ~ g(a')). If f or g is a cofibration, the map hocolim → colim is a weak equivalence.

- ロ ト - ( 同 ト - ( 回 ト - ) 回 - )

hocolim (X ← A → Y) = (X ∐ A × [0,1] ∐ Y) / ((a,0) ~ f(a), (a',1) ~ g(a')). If f or g is a cofibration, the map hocolim → colim is a weak equivalence.

• hocolim 
$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & X \end{pmatrix} = EG \times_G X$$
, usually called the Borel construction, or the homotopy orbit space.

12 / 20

- ロ ト - ( 同 ト - ( 回 ト - ) 回 - )

• hocolim  $\left(X \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} A \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} Y\right) =$  $(X \mid A \times [0,1] \mid Y) / ((a,0) \sim f(a), (a',1) \sim g(a')).$ If f or g is a cofibration, the map hocolim  $\rightarrow$  colim is a weak equivalence.

• hocolim 
$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & X \end{pmatrix} = EG \times_G X$$
, usually called the Borel construction, or the homotopy orbit space.  
If the action is free the map  $EG \times_G X \to X/G$  is a weak equivalence.

- hocolim  $\left(X \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} A \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} Y\right) = (X \coprod A \times [0,1] \coprod Y) / ((a,0) \sim f(a), (a',1) \sim g(a')).$ If f or g is a cofibration, the map hocolim  $\rightarrow$  colim is a weak equivalence.
- hocolim  $\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & X \end{pmatrix} = EG \times_G X$ , usually called the Borel construction, or the homotopy orbit space. If the action is free the map  $EG \times_G X \to X/G$  is a weak equivalence.
- Inclusions of topological groups are not cofibrations of topological groups!

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

Theorem [Kan]: There is an equivalence of homotopy theories

 $\mathsf{Ho}(\mathsf{TopGps}) \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Ho}(\mathsf{ConnectedSpaces})$ 

given by the loop and classifying space functors.

Theorem [Kan]: There is an equivalence of homotopy theories

```
\mathsf{Ho}(\mathsf{TopGps}) \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Ho}(\mathsf{ConnectedSpaces})
```

given by the loop and classifying space functors.

This is how one would usually think of homotopy colimit of topological groups.

**Theorem [Kan]:** There is an equivalence of homotopy theories

```
Ho(TopGps) \leftrightarrow Ho(ConnectedSpaces)
```

given by the loop and classifying space functors.

This is how one would usually think of homotopy colimit of topological groups.

**New approach:** For n > 2, let  $\prod_n$  be the category with

- Objects: finite ordered sets labeled with *n* colors
- Morphisms: order preserving maps preserving the colors.

Theorem [Kan]: There is an equivalence of homotopy theories

```
\mathsf{Ho}(\mathsf{TopGps}) \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Ho}(\mathsf{ConnectedSpaces})
```

given by the loop and classifying space functors.

This is how one would usually think of homotopy colimit of topological groups.

**New approach:** For  $n \ge 2$ , let  $\prod_n$  be the category with

- Objects: finite ordered sets labeled with n colors
- Morphisms: order preserving maps preserving the colors.

 $\Pi_n$  is a monoidal category with product given by concatenation. The unit is the empty word.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ● ● ●

Given a diagram of topological groups



(신문) 문

Given a diagram of topological groups



and  $w = (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \Pi_n$  with  $a_i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  define

$$G(w) = G_{a_1} \times_A G_{a_2} \times_A \cdots \times_A G_{a_n}$$

Define  $G(w \rightarrow w')$  using multiplication and inclusions.

Given a diagram of topological groups



and  $w = (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \Pi_n$  with  $a_i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  define

$$G(w) = G_{a_1} \times_A G_{a_2} \times_A \cdots \times_A G_{a_n}$$

Define  $G(w \rightarrow w')$  using multiplication and inclusions.

• 
$$\operatorname{colim}_{w\in\Pi_n}G(w) = \mathop{*}_AG_i$$

Given a diagram of topological groups



and  $w = (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \prod_n$  with  $a_i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  define

$$G(w) = G_{a_1} \times_A G_{a_2} \times_A \cdots \times_A G_{a_n}$$

Define  $G(w \rightarrow w')$  using multiplication and inclusions.

• 
$$\operatorname{colim}_{w \in \Pi_n} G(w) = \underset{A}{*} G_i$$
  
•  $G : \Pi_n \to (A - \operatorname{Spaces} - A)$  is a monoidal functor, hence

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

Given a diagram of topological groups



and  $w = (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \Pi_n$  with  $a_i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  define

$$G(w) = G_{a_1} \times_A G_{a_2} \times_A \cdots \times_A G_{a_n}$$

Define  $G(w \rightarrow w')$  using multiplication and inclusions.

- $\operatorname{colim}_{w\in\Pi_n} G(w) = \mathop{*}_A G_i$
- $G: \Pi_n \rightarrow (A \text{Spaces} A)$  is a monoidal functor, hence
- hocolim G(w) is a monoid and the canonical map hocolim → colim is a map of monoids.

**Prop:**  $\underset{w \in \Pi_n}{\text{hocolim}} G(w)$  is homotopy equivalent to



3

**Prop:**  $\underset{w \in \Pi_n}{\text{hocolim}} G(w)$  is homotopy equivalent to



**Prop:** If  $\phi_i \colon A \to G_i$  are inclusions, the map

$$\operatorname{hocolim}_{w\in\Pi_n} G(w) \to \operatorname{colim}_{w\in\Pi_n} G(w) = \mathop{*}_{A} G_{i}$$

is a weak equivalence.

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

**Prop:**  $\underset{w \in \Pi_n}{\text{hocolim}} G(w)$  is homotopy equivalent to



**Prop:** If  $\phi_i \colon A \to G_i$  are inclusions, the map

$$\operatorname{hocolim}_{w\in\Pi_n} G(w) \to \operatorname{colim}_{w\in\Pi_n} G(w) = \mathop{*}_{A} G_{i}$$

is a weak equivalence.

This implies the first part of the Theorem.

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

The homology of amalgams

November 24, 2007 15 / 20

Given  $F: I \rightarrow$  Spaces there is a standard spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^{2} = \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} H_{q}(F(i); R) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\operatorname{hocolim}_{i \in I} F(i); R)$$

3

Given  $F: I \rightarrow$  Spaces there is a standard spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^{2} = \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} H_{q}(F(i); R) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\operatorname{hocolim}_{i \in I} F(i); R)$$

**Example:** For  $X \xrightarrow{G}$  this is the usual spectral sequence  $H_p(G; H_q(X; R)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(EG \times_G X; R).$ 

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6 - 日

Given  $F: I \rightarrow$  Spaces there is a standard spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^{2} = \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} H_{q}(F(i); R) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\operatorname{hocolim}_{i \in I} F(i); R)$$

**Example:** For  $X \xrightarrow{G}$  this is the usual spectral sequence  $H_p(G; H_q(X; R)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(EG \times_G X; R).$ 

Applying this to  $G: \Pi_n \rightarrow$  Spaces gives the spectral sequence in the second part of the Theorem.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 うの()

Given  $F: I \rightarrow$  Spaces there is a standard spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^{2} = \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} H_{q}(F(i); R) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\operatorname{hocolim}_{i \in I} F(i); R)$$

**Example:** For  $X \xrightarrow{G}$  this is the usual spectral sequence  $H_p(G; H_q(X; R)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(EG \times_G X; R).$ 

Applying this to  $G: \Pi_n \rightarrow$  Spaces gives the spectral sequence in the second part of the Theorem.

G monoidal  $\Rightarrow$  the spectral sequence is multiplicative.

**Recall:** K simply connected unitary form of a Kač-Moody group. There is a surjective homomorphism

$$*P_i \xrightarrow{\pi} K$$

where  $P_i$  are the minimal parabolics and B is the Borel subgroup.

**Recall:** K simply connected unitary form of a Kač-Moody group. There is a surjective homomorphism

$$*P_i \xrightarrow{\pi} K$$

where  $P_i$  are the minimal parabolics and B is the Borel subgroup.

B deformation retracts to the maximal torus  $T^n$ .

**Recall:** K simply connected unitary form of a Kač-Moody group. There is a surjective homomorphism

$$*P_i \xrightarrow{\pi} K$$

where  $P_i$  are the minimal parabolics and B is the Borel subgroup.

B deformation retracts to the maximal torus  $T^n$ .

The minimal parabolics have semisimple rank 1. They deformation retract (in the simply connected case) to either

$$T^{n-1} \times SU(2)$$
 or  $T^{n-2} \times U(2)$ .

**Recall:** K simply connected unitary form of a Kač-Moody group. There is a surjective homomorphism

$$*P_i \xrightarrow{\pi} K$$

where  $P_i$  are the minimal parabolics and B is the Borel subgroup.

B deformation retracts to the maximal torus  $T^n$ .

The minimal parabolics have semisimple rank 1. They deformation retract (in the simply connected case) to either

$$T^{n-1} \times SU(2)$$
 or  $T^{n-2} \times U(2)$ .

Want to prove the algebra  $H_*(\underset{T^n}{*}K_i)$  with  $K_i$  one of the two groups above is finitely generated.

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

$$SU(2) = \left\{ egin{bmatrix} z_1 & -\overline{z_2} \ z_2 & \overline{z_1} \end{bmatrix} : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1 
ight\}.$$

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & -\overline{z_2} \\ z_2 & \overline{z_1} \end{bmatrix} : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

has a cell decomposition

 $e^0 \cup e^1 \cup e^2 \cup e^3$ 

< 17 ▶

3

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & -\overline{z_2} \\ z_2 & \overline{z_1} \end{bmatrix} : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

has a cell decomposition

$$e^0 \cup e^1 \cup e^2 \cup e^3$$

with  $e^0 = \{1\}$ ,

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

A B + A B +

< 1 →

3

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & -\overline{z_2} \\ z_2 & \overline{z_1} \end{bmatrix} : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

has a cell decomposition

$$e^0 \cup e^1 \cup e^2 \cup e^3$$

with 
$$e^0=\{1\}$$
,  $e^1=\left\{egin{bmatrix}z_1&0\0&\overline{z_1}\end{bmatrix}:\,|z_1|=1,z_1
eq 1
ight\}$ 

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

November 24, 2007

- ∢ ≣ →

< ∃ >

< 17 ▶

э

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & -\overline{z_2} \\ z_2 & \overline{z_1} \end{bmatrix} : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

has a cell decomposition

$$e^0 \cup e^1 \cup e^2 \cup e^3$$

with 
$$e^0 = \{1\}$$
,  
 $e^1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{z_1} \end{bmatrix} : |z_1| = 1, z_1 \neq 1 \right\}$   
 $e^2 = \left\{ (z_1, z_2) : 0 \le |z_1| < 1, z_2 = \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2} \right\}.$ 

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

-∢ ≣ →

< 一型

э

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & -\overline{z_2} \\ z_2 & \overline{z_1} \end{bmatrix} : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

has a cell decomposition

$$e^0 \cup e^1 \cup e^2 \cup e^3$$

with 
$$e^0 = \{1\}$$
,  
 $e^1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{z_1} \end{bmatrix} : |z_1| = 1, z_1 \neq 1 \right\}$   
 $e^2 = \left\{ (z_1, z_2) : 0 \le |z_1| < 1, z_2 = \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2} \right\}.$ 

 $e^2$  provides a transverse to both right and left actions of  $S^1$  on  $SU(2) \setminus S^1$ .

$$e^3 = e^1 e^2$$

- ∢ ≣ ≯ = ≣

18 / 20

→

The cellular chains form a differential graded algebra

$$\mathcal{C}_*(\mathit{SU}(2);\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}\langle x_1,z_2 
angle / \langle x_1^2,z_2^2,x_1z_2+z_2x_1 
angle$$

with  $\partial(x_1) = 0, \partial(z_2) = x_1$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

The cellular chains form a differential graded algebra

$$\mathcal{C}_*(\mathit{SU}(2);\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}\langle x_1,z_2 
angle / \langle x_1^2,z_2^2,x_1z_2+z_2x_1 
angle$$

with  $\partial(x_1) = 0, \partial(z_2) = x_1$ .

 $T^n$  has an obvious multiplicative cell decomposition.

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

イロト 不得 とうせい かほとう ほ

The cellular chains form a differential graded algebra

$$\mathcal{C}_*(\mathit{SU}(2);\mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}\langle x_1,z_2
angle/\langle x_1^2,z_2^2,x_1z_2+z_2x_1
angle$$

with  $\partial(x_1) = 0, \partial(z_2) = x_1$ .

 $T^n$  has an obvious multiplicative cell decomposition. The adapted cell decomposition for SU(2) gives a multiplicative cell decomposition for  $\underset{T^n}{*}K_i$  when  $K_i$  are of type  $T^{n-1} \times SU(2)$ .

・ロト ・ 一日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

The cellular chains form a differential graded algebra

$$\mathcal{C}_*(\mathit{SU}(2);\mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}\langle x_1,z_2
angle/\langle x_1^2,z_2^2,x_1z_2+z_2x_1
angle$$

with  $\partial(x_1) = 0, \partial(z_2) = x_1$ .

 $T^n$  has an obvious multiplicative cell decomposition. The adapted cell decomposition for SU(2) gives a multiplicative cell decomposition for  $*K_i$ when  $K_i$  are of type  $T^{n-1} \times SU(2)$ .

This gives a simple formula for the differential graded algebra of cellular chains  $C_*(\underline{*}_i K_i; \mathbb{Z})$  in this case.

### A simple example

$$C_*(SU(2) \times S^1 *_{T^2} S^1 \times SU(2); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, y_1, z_2, w_2]/J$$

with J the ideal

 $J = \langle x_1^2, y_1^2, z_2^2, w_2^2, x_1z_2 + z_2x_1, y_1w_2 + w_2y_1, x_1y_1 + y_1x_1, z_2y_1 - y_1z_2, w_2x_1 - x_1w_2 + y_1y_1 + y_1y_1$ 

### A simple example

$$C_*(SU(2)\times S^1 \underset{T^2}{*} S^1 \times SU(2); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, y_1, z_2, w_2]/J$$

with J the ideal

 $J = \langle x_1^2, y_1^2, z_2^2, w_2^2, x_1z_2 + z_2x_1, y_1w_2 + w_2y_1, x_1y_1 + y_1x_1, z_2y_1 - y_1z_2, w_2x_1 - x_1w_2 + y_1y_1 + y_1y_1$ 

It follows that

$$H_*(SU(2)\times S^1 \underset{T^2}{*} S^1 \times SU(2); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}(A_3, B_3) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[C_4].$$

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

### A simple example

$$C_*(SU(2)\times S^1 \underset{T^2}{*} S^1 \times SU(2); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, y_1, z_2, w_2]/J$$

with J the ideal

 $J = \langle x_1^2, y_1^2, z_2^2, w_2^2, x_1z_2 + z_2x_1, y_1w_2 + w_2y_1, x_1y_1 + y_1x_1, z_2y_1 - y_1z_2, w_2x_1 - x_1w_2 + y_1y_1 + y_1y_1$ 

It follows that

$$H_*(SU(2)\times S^1 \underset{T^2}{*} S^1 \times SU(2); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}(A_3, B_3) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[C_4].$$

More generally one can prove in this way that the homology is finitely generated when the factors are all of type  $T^{n-1} \times SU(2)$ .

Gustavo Granja (CAMGSD/IST)

The homology of amalgams

November 24, 2007